**Call for Papers**

**Hinterlands**

Defined as a remote area situated away from coasts, riverbanks or cities, the hinterland involves a profound entanglement between the city and the country, with the hinterland traditionally seen to serve the (colonial) port or market town through, among other things, agriculture and resource extraction (Uzoigwe 1976). Historically, the hinterland takes up an underprivileged place in Lockean perspectives on the organisation of political society, which is seen to emanate outwards from the enclosed property of the free individual (Shilliam 2016). In this sense, the hinterland spatially and conceptually overlaps with the (neo)colonial and ecological impasses of the rural. Both the hinterland and the rural are perceived as lagging behind the metropolis, behind globalisation processes and even behind modernity itself. But where the rural is also strongly associated with idylls of retreat, colonial nostalgia, dwelling, the farm and nature conservation (Ndebele 1998; Gupta 2018; Stuit 2020; Dlamini 2020), the hinterland sits more frequently on the side of wastelands, grimness, sites of racial exclusion (such as informal settlements in South Africa), and the industrial and extractive ruination of landscapes (Tsing 2015).

Nowadays, the hinterland is no longer conceived as exclusively non-urban, since there are also suburban hinterlands and hinterlands in the form of ghost cities (Neel 2018). The environmental and oceanic humanities and a focus on new materialisms, moreover, urge us to think hinterlands as sites of entanglement (Nuttall 2009) that involve being attentive to the many intra-acting (Barad 2007) infrastructures, life forms and elements (such as water and nitrogen) that make up hinterlands (Bystrom and Hofmeyr 2017; Jue 2020; Nuttall 2020). In their everyday, non-spectacularised guise, the different hinterlands that may be distinguished feature not just food production and mining (Hall 2012), but also many logistical processes that are crucial for an intimately connected global trade, including data farms, distribution centres, and garbage disposals (Neel 2018). Hinterlands, moreover, are increasingly mobilised for (renewable) energy generation, making them important sites of contention in debates about climate change, although often without sustained attention for the historical and racial inequities that structure these debates (Chakrabarty 2018).

While specific hinterlands, especially in (post)colonial contexts, have received critical attention (Korieh 2000; Curto 2003) and the term seems to be experiencing somewhat of a resurgence (Topalovic et al. 2013), what is lacking is a conceptual approach that takes into account the abovementioned developments and asks how hinterlands might help us think through some of today’s impasses (Berlant 2011) pertaining to the afterlives of colonialism, impending environmental collapse, and rural-urban divides and inequalities. As well, we could think of them as “affective economies” following Ahmed (2004), as potentially recalibrating the political power of boredom, desolation, resignation, exhaustion and indignation particularly in relation to the perceived right wing/populist proclivities of the rural and the hinterland (Peeren 2018). Such an approach would also entail looking closely at how the conceptualization of the hinterland is complicated by cross-pollinations between its literal meaning as a peripheralized place and its metaphorical association with that which lies beyond what is visible or known – an association operationalized, for example, in the Welsh television series *Hinterland* / *Y Gwyll* (2013-2016).

Possible questions to address at the workshop are:

* How can the concept of hinterlands help to rethink certain rural, urban and suburban spaces – through their material and affective dimensions – as sites from which to produce critical and engaged analysis of late capitalist formations and cultural imaginations today?
* How can we engage conceptually with fast changing hinterland worlds as pivotal sites of globalisation rather than as places that are perceived as static and still outsides to neo-liberal urban living?
* How can we constructively mobilize the fact that hinterlands are generally less idealized than peripheralized spaces figured as rural or wilderness?
* Might a different conceptual architecture of the present become visible by connecting material knowledges from various hinterlands in different parts of the world?
* Could hinterlands be thought to delay or reroute the reach of coloniality and/or postcoloniality?
* How are hinterlands increasingly being mobilized as sites of populist sentiments and politics?
* What new forms of (more-than-human) communality and attachment become possible when rural-urban dynamics are cathected through the notion of the hinterland?
* In what ways does climate change appear differently from the perspective/materiality of the hinterland than from that of the rural or the (sub)urban?
* How do the entanglements of the hinterland differ from those in the rural or (sub)urban in terms of the specific agencies (animals, insects, pathogens, plants) involved?
* Can epistemologies and ontologies of hinterland give shape to investigations into more sustainable and inclusive futures?
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