Editors' Introduction

1 2

3 4 5

6 7

8

13 14 15

16

Recognition and Registration: The Infrastructure of Personhood in World History

SIMON SZRETER AND KEITH BRECKENRIDGE

Introduction

AROUND THE WORLD STATES REQUIRE that their citizens register as tax-payers, 17 property owners, school students, patients, drivers, welfare recipients, and insured 18 workers. The modern state seems almost to have become a registering machine, 19 with the act of registration replacing taxation as the citizen's most common 20 encounter with the state. This is because registration lies at the centre of the process 21 of 'textually mediated organisation' that Giddens (following Weber and many 22 others) has observed as the *raison d'être* of the modern state (Giddens 1985, 2: 23 185; Sankar 1992, 9). Civil registration - the administrative recording of the birth, 24 death, and marital status of individual citizens - is the linchpin of this web of 25 obligations and rights. The significance of birth registration, in particular, as the 26 'foundation for the fulfillment of other rights' is reflected in Article 7 of the 1989 27 UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, which places the right to a name and 28 nationality immediately after the primary right that children have to life itself 29 (Szreter 2007, 68; Mackenzie 2008, 534). 30

Yet many people, perhaps as many as half of the global population, live out their 31 lives unrecorded by any state system of civil registration: Unicef (2005, 3) estimated 32 that 36 per cent of births worldwide went unregistered. This is an even more disabling 33 birthright lottery than the inequalities that go with registration, as expounded by 34 Shachar (2009). These undocumented peoples exist on the margins of all societies, 35 but the largest contiguous blocks of unregistered populations live in South 36 Asia and on the African continent, where, until very recently, national systems of 37 civil registration have not succeeded in recording even a majority of births (Setel 38 et al. 2007, 1570; Kwankye 1999, 430; Jewkes and Wood 1998). This situation is 39 changing rapidly as universal systems of civil registration, often complemented by 40 biometric identification schemes aimed at adults, are being fostered by donor aid 41 oriented towards meeting the Millennium Development Goals and other statistically-42 measured public health projects. One non-governmental organization in particular,

Proceedings of the British Academy 182, 1–36. © The British Academy 2012.

Plan International, has been driving a global campaign for universal birth registration.1The results, to date, are impressive, with significant improvements in the scope of
birth registration in countries like Angola and Ghana (Mackenzie 2008, 522).3

The implementation of universal civil registration is difficult and expensive 4 everywhere, but it has been Herculean in Africa and in South Asia, where the 5 colonial state in the nineteenth and early twentieth century showed little enthusiasm 6 for the long-term effort required to build the necessary administrative infrastructure. 7 One consequence of this informational void is that administrators in Africa and 8 South Asia have begun to opt for biometric population registers that will allow 9 them to identify the current generation of adult citizens. The most ambitious of 10 these national population registration schemes is the biometric Unique Identity 11 Authority currently under development in India (Economist 2012), but almost 12 identical projects (often with the publicly announced objective of increasing the 13 size of the banking population) are under way in most developing countries. 14

These biometric registration systems, which primarily target adult citizens, 15 promise to bypass the difficulties of building a birth and death registration 16 infrastructure. Typically they involve a single government tender for a paperless 17 and automated registration process which is delivered, managed and maintained 18 by one of the global corporations, such as Sagem, Accenture, NEC or De La Rue, 19 that dominate the field of biometric administration. In comparison the work of 20 delivering a national system of birth and death registration may involve the opening 21 of hundreds of offices and the training of thousands, often tens of thousands, of 22 officials - an expensive official commitment that will last for decades. Yet the 23 political and economic effects of the relatively cheap and efficient biometric 24 population registers have still to be assessed. They may strengthen the workings 25 and effects of the civil registry, or undermine it. As has been acknowledged recently 26 by some of the leading epidemiological and demographic experts involved in 27 designing them, earlier attempts from the 1960s onwards to substitute for the 28 absence of full civil registration schemes, such as sample registration and indirect 29 estimation techniques, may unfortunately have turned what were supposed to be 30 only interim measures for analytical purposes into cheap alternatives to proper 31 citizen registration (Hill et al. 2007). Rather than consigning civil registration 32 systems to the dustbin of history, these novel schemes heighten the urgency of 33 exploring the social and political effects of the older systems. 34

Establishing an understanding of the social and economic value of civil regis-35 tration is especially important because of the tenacity required to make these 36 systems work in the absence of a supporting state infrastructure. After several years 37 of initial enthusiasm for universal population registration, the advocates of these 38 schemes have begun to wilt in the face of the costs and administrative difficulties 39 of deploying a nationwide registration system and enrolling a sometimes 40 unenthusiastic population. In Ghana and Nigeria - which both inherited very limited 41 birth registration systems from colonial rule (see Kuczynski 1948) - national 42 projects of population registration have foundered after several months of energetic

delivery, leaving officials and citizens wondering what the effort of civil registration is actually meant to produce (Breckenridge 2010, 2011).

This book aims to explore this question - examining the work of registration in societies around the world through the last millennium and more. In the process the volume opens up the politics of registration as a major new topic for historical and comparative research. The individual studies of regional practices of registration gathered here, although widely separated in time and space, address a set of themes that allow us to bring into a common framework of analysis societies that have typically been treated as dissimilar. Perhaps because it is one of the basic activities of state-making, the problem of registration, like class, gender or the state itself, 10 provides a conceptual vocabulary that supports illuminating global comparisons. 11

Considered together the chapters that follow present several points of argument 12 of wide significance. Scholars, and historians in particular, have tended to explain 13 registration as part of an often vaguely conceived technology of writing tightly 14 associated with the rise of state power. We show here that it is possible, and pro-15 ductive, to disaggregate the different types and functions of registration. Similarly, 16 there have been many non-state agents of registration typically associated with 17 the provision and policing of different kinds of welfare. The global trajectories of 18 registration are much more complicated and contested than much social science 19 theory currently allows, such that many societies, including those with elaborate 20 administrations like modern Spain or the nineteenth-century United States, have 21 dismantled or resisted the creation of such systems. Performative, or oral, challenges 22 to the capacities of the written documents of registration have been tenacious and 23 they have remained dominant in many societies into the twenty-first century (Sadig 24 2009). Viewed globally, societies, and the individuals within them, seem to be very 25 variably shaped by what we might call cultures of registration; some like the 26 Netherlands and Japan have exalted the authority of the registry over the reputation 27 of individuals, while others, like the Spanish territories, India and most African 28 states, have relied on the social and oral authorities as arbiters of identification. 29 Finally, it is important for our social science to recognize that the Chinese state, 30 and its mandarinate neighbours (Woodside 2006), had in place a registration order, 31 aimed at measuring and preserving the well-being of its population, at least one 32 millennium before the nineteenth-century processes that scholars have explored 33 as key elements in the 'science of population' (see Chapters 1 and 4). As our readers 34 can probably perceive, viewed in combination these arguments present a challenge 35 to some of the simplifying effects of Foucault's arguments about the emergence 36 of biopower and governmentality. 37

38

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

39 40

41

42

What is registration?

Registration, in its most basic form, is the act of producing a written record. In Chinese (and the Japanese script derived from it) the word has such immense timedepth that its etymology is impossible to discern. The earliest uses of the word 1 registrum in medieval European history, as Clanchy has shown, referred to the 2 recording, usually in a book or a scroll, of copies of the contents of other important 3 documents such as land-titles or letters. Registers provided fragile documents a 4 measure of security for posterity but they were also, from the beginning, tools for 5 abstracting from a larger body of information. Registration produced the capacity 6 to generate selective lists, often, like Domesday, sorted by the name of the title-7 holder or the correspondent. These lists also served as tests of authenticity after the 8 twelfth century as the ascendancy of written documents of entitlement placed an 9 increasing premium on forgery (Clanchy 1979, 11, 55, 75, 92, 266; McCrank 1993). 10

List-making is an intrinsic feature of registers wherever they have been found. 11 This may not be quite as banal as it seems. Goody and Ong have both claimed that 12 lists were impossible in societies without writing (Goody 1986, 52-111; Ong 2002, 13 96). These sorts of binary claims about the epistemological rupture between written 14 and oral cultures have mostly not survived the detailed research on literacy in Africa, 15 and we should probably treat them with some scepticism (Halverson 1992; Hawkins 16 2002). But the indexing function of registers does seem particularly important. In 17 this volume, for example, von Glahn shows that the twelfth-century Chinese texts 18 we call household registers took three different forms: one was organized by the 19 location of land, identifying its owner or tenant; another was ordered by the 20 households' surnames, providing an inventory of their property; and a third, called 21 'rat-tail registers', listed all the households in each district according to the size of 22 the tax-assessment levied on them (see Chapter 1, p. 54, note 17). 23

Registration seems always to involve multiple obligations and rights, although 24 some of these mutually constructed implications may have been more convincing 25 than others. Colonial officials in South Africa, for instance, routinely attempted to 26 justify the requirement that black people were subjected to an onerous pass regime 27 by suggesting, rather morbidly, that the passes were indispensable in the identi-28 fication of dead bodies (Wilson 1912). A very common example of these inter-29 locking obligations was the connection between the security of property holding 30 and the liability for tax which were products of the medieval chancellery registers. 31 Another more recent, and perhaps even more typical, example was the Maoist state's 32 stipulation of officially sanctioned marriage registration as a condition for successful 33 registration as an urban resident (Diamant 2001, 459). 34

A further feature of registers, which follows in part from their intrinsically multi-35 functional character, is a strong tendency towards reproduction and proliferation. 36 Registers were instrumental in the slow and contested ascendancy of writing, which 37 Clanchy traces from the royal chancellery out into the English countryside in the 38 two centuries after the drawing up of the Domesday survey. 'Once the idea of 39 copying documents into books for greater security and convenience became 40 familiar,' he notes, 'the practice took many forms' (Clanchy 1979, 93). These 41 registers were important because they had a dual character as collections of other 42

1 documents and as instruments of administrative power in their own right. This 2 double-sided power, especially after chancellery registers of correspondence began from the twelfth century to be viewed as the equivalent of the original documents, 3 4 made them, as Clanchy acknowledges, instruments of archival government and the 5 'best measure of the rate of episcopal record-making' (Clanchy 1979, 67). Nor was 6 the proliferation of registers confined to England; Groebner, for example, has claimed 7 that Emperor Frederick II's use of paper for his chancellery register in the mid-thir-8 teenth century 'marked nothing less than the beginning of the history of bureaucracy 9 in Europe' (Groebner 2007, 158; for the same processes in Spain and in the Roman Church, see McCrank 1993, 262, 264). One result of this proliferation of usages is 10 that the problem of researching registration is complicated, as Cooper and Brubaker 11 (2000) showed, by the problematic ambiguities of the word 'identity'. This disabling 12 confusion, as they suggest, makes it all the more important for researchers to give 13 14 careful attention to the history of the ways in which almost invisible processes of 15 identification and apparatuses of registration have produced the infrastructure of what we now conventionally think of as individuals' identities (Scott et al. 2002). 16

17 One of the most interesting features of registration, in Europe and in Asia, was 18 its intrinsically religious character and function. The intense forms of village 19 household registration that Saito and Sato describe for modern Japan have their 20 origins in a seventeenth-century religious survey that the Tokugawan state used to expel Christianity (see Chapter 4). In China, as von Glahn shows, registration took 21 on similar ritual and religious functions in extending the rights and powers of village 22 23 lineages. Similarly, the best evidence we have, from Europe, shows that registration 24 spread out from royal chancelleries into forms of parish government during the late 25 Middle Ages, and that from the sixteenth century it was religious registration, by 26 state-supported and dissenting congregations, that set up the widespread systems of registration in northern Europe. What is clear is that registration, especially of 27 28 new individuals soon after birth, carried much of the cultural and ritual authority 29 of the church wherever it was implemented (Clanchy 1979, 126; Ong 2002, 102; 30 Loveman 2007, 21; and see Chapter 2 in this volume). Ironically, as Gorski has shown, this intermingling of clerical and religious work in the building of the 31 32 northern European bureaucracies required a much more significant dependence on ascetic Protestantism than even Weber allowed, whose account of the autono-33 34 mous and rule-bound bureaucracy placed its emphasis on the demands of capitalist 35 firms and democratic political parties. (For the Prussian case, see Wilke 2004; and for the ascetic revolution in the Protestant states, see Gorski 1993.) 36

- 37
- 38
- 39

39 40

Registration in theory

Registration of property and people is often accorded a key role in theoretical
 explanations of the administrative elevation of writing, but it has never been

properly investigated. In these accounts registration is typically the agent of the 1 direct and unqualified ascendancy of written bureaucracy, driven by the admini-2 strative benefits that accrue to the state from the record-keeping technologies of 3 writing. Two contentions - the technological ascendancy of writing over oral forms 4 of authority; and the simplification of social relationships that apparently derives 5 from it - have been very influential. A third sometimes implicit claim might be 6 described as a misplaced certainty about the universality of the will to know; and 7 a fourth is an unjustifiable preoccupation with developments in Europe in the 8 modern period. In the focused studies of registration that follow almost all of these 9 theoretical claims are systematically challenged. 10

The title of Jack Goody's book - The Logic of Writing and the Organization of 11 Society – aptly summarizes the existing theoretical argument of the effects of literary 12 bureaucracy on society. Writing in these accounts is the state's instrument for 13 reaching into the domestic world of its subjects, primarily as a means to raise taxes 14 (Goody 1986, 63). A key result of the work of registration in Goody's very influential 15 synthesis is the reduction of the thicket of relationships that existed in a world of 16 oral relationships. 'When registration of title takes place . . . complex arrangements 17 often have to be summed up in a single entry in the register that attempts to allocate 18 "ownership" (that is, the total nexus of rights) to one individual rather than specifying 19 all the claims of kith and kin', Goody writes. Adopting Wordsworth's criticism of 20 the book, he argues that 'writing strips the social relationships of its complicating 21 context and "murders to dissect" ('The Tables Turned', in Wordsworth 1888; Goody 22 1986, 155). This argument - of the simplification that follows from written regis-23 tration - has been very important, most notably in James Scott's Seeing Like a State 24 25 (Scott 1998).

Goody presented his account of the political consequences of writing as a 26 supplement to Foucault's account of the rise of governmentality. He suggested that, 27 like the growth of statistics that Foucault saw underpinning the science of 28 population, the rules and practices of written government supported a new kind of 29 power: 'The increase in knowledge by the state represented an increase in its power 30 to govern.' This will to know, he suggests, was carried by the British to India and 31 Africa where 'knowability meant governability, and both entailed the extensive 32 use of the written word' (Goody 1986, 116). This claim, which continues to have 33 strong contemporary scholarly influence in the search for governmentality in 34 colonial Africa and India, seems especially mistaken, as several of the chapters 35 here show (see Chapters 9, 10, 14 and 15). These studies confirm the thrust of 36 Stoler's (2009) reinterpretation of the Dutch colonial archive in Indonesia, showing 37 that African colonial governments, and their modern heirs, typically lacked the 38 resources, long-term administrative tenacity and local political agents required to 39 make civil registration work outside of the scattered cities on the continent. 40

Foucault's account of the emergence of the science of population as the goal, 41 and justification, of government stresses the European and modern origins of the 42

bureaucratic science of population. 'We live in the era of a "governmentality",
 Foucault writes, 'first discovered in the eighteenth century' (Foucault 1991, 103).
 Under this new form of state power in Europe a new kind of sovereignty developed,
 derived, not from the possession of territory or the personality of the sovereign,
 but from the well-being of the population. Power, Foucault suggested, ceased to
 be an end itself and became instead an instrument for, and product of, the well being of the population (Foucault 1991, 100).

8 In a similar vein Foucault describes a will to truth emerging over centuries in 9 European history, sometimes breaking into its modern form 'at the turn of the sixteenth century (and particularly in England)' (Foucault 1981, 54), at others in 10 the eighteenth (Foucault and Gordon 1980, 100; Foucault 1977, 1991, 100). A key 11 part of his argument, drawing playfully from Nietzsche, is that power and 12 knowledge are mutually constituted. 'There is no power relation without the cor-13 14 relative constitution of a field of knowledge', he writes in Discipline and Punish, 15 'nor any knowledge that does not presuppose and constitute at the same time power relations' (Foucault 1977, 27). This claim has encouraged many scholars to 16 overstate the bureaucratic enthusiasm for information gathering and it has 17 18 discouraged research into the limits of bureaucratic knowledge. As many of the 19 chapters in this volume show (see Chapters 2, 5, 7, 10, 14 and 15), states frequently 20 sought to restrict, abandon or devolve registration, without any direct effect on their authority and power. This is because registration, unlike enumeration 21 (see below for more on this distinction), is often more valuable, or at least as use-22 23 ful, to individual citizens, as to the state. It has the potential to provide a legal 24 technology and resource for individuals which strengthens civic society: a strong 25 state which creates a registration system can be legally empowering of its citizens, rather than disempowering; and this diffused power in the hands of citizens does 26 not equate with any particular form of knowledge sought by or useful to the state. 27

28 Written registration plays a similarly key but obscure part in the institutional 29 order that Foucault traces in Discipline and Punish. He repeatedly stresses its special significance in the organization of what he calls the power of writing. 'The 30 procedures of examination were accompanied at the same time by a system of 31 32 intense registration and of documentary accumulation', Foucault writes (1977, 189). And he suggests that the hospitals of the eighteenth century were laboratories for 33 34 the 'scriptuary and documentary methods' that allowed institutions to isolate and capture the features of individual subjects in "ignoble" archives' (Foucault 1977, 35 190). 'Surveillance', he argues, 'is based on a system of permanent registration' 36 (Foucault 1977, 196). But, aside from these very general observations about the 37 importance of registration, Foucault - like Goody - pays little attention to the actual 38 39 workings of registration, in any of its forms.

A similar thinly conceived but significant emphasis on registration as the motor
 of state power can be found in Corrigan and Sayer's very influential and important
 study of the long-wave cultures of authority in England. Drawing on Clanchy's work,

they argue that state-making in England involved a peculiar combination of very 1 old Anglo-Saxon forms of local authority tied to the centralized administration of 2 parliament through the expansion of writing. They explain the rise of the English 3 state - and of English capitalism - as a cultural movement, with the instruments of 4 writing binding ancient local elites to London after the twelfth century. Parish regis-5 tration, and especially the recording of marriages after 1538, gave the state-church 6 a hold on the most mundane cultural activities (Corrigan and Sayer 1985, 22-23). 7 And Corrigan and Saver continue this stress on the importance of registration, and 8 the wider obsession with documentary government, in their discussion of the 'moral 9 revolution of the nineteenth century' (1985, 124-135, quotation 124). 10

In these very influential studies by Goody, Foucault, Giddens, and Corrigan and 11 Saver there is a powerful tendency to regard highly elaborated documentary 12 government, and extensive systems of local registration in particular, as distinctively 13 European. In their discussion of the increase in the administrative capacity of the 14 English ruling classes after the twelfth century, Corrigan and Sayer, for example, 15 claim that the "official documentary system" is unparalleled in its coverage, con-16 tinuity and comprehensiveness' (Corrigan and Saver 1985, 21). Chapter 1 by von 17 Glahn and Chapter 4 by Saito and Sato on the workings of Chinese and Japanese 18 household registration, and the studies by Szreter (Chapter 2) and Herzog (Chapter 19 7) on the limits of registration in the Spanish and English empires, suggest that 20 these claims of European distinctiveness are misconceived at best. 21

The concept that currently has the most powerful explanatory traction in the 22 study of the state may be James Scott's notion of legibility (Scott 1998; Diamant 23 2001; Loveman 2007; on the influence of Scott's term, see Caplan 2009). Like the 24 scholars who have approached this subject before him, Scott arrives at the problem 25 of registration from a surprising direction. His interest is primarily in map-making, 26 and, in particular, in the conflicts between the dense thickets of customary forms 27 of land tenure and the bureaucratic urge for the simplification of spaces, human 28 relationships and mobility. His analysis was chiefly metaphorical, interpreting the 29 bureaucratic interest in a fixed address as the 'state's attempt to make a society 30 legible, to arrange the population in ways that simplified the classic state functions 31 of taxation, conscription, and prevention of rebellion' (Scott 1998, 2). He does 32 examine, briefly, the administrative arrangements in early-modern Tuscany and in 33 the mid-nineteenth-century Philippines, but the great influence of his study is 34 derived much more from its elegance than his interest in the complexity of the 35 processes of registration he is describing (Scott 1998, 66-69, see also 372, n.42). 36 Scott's emphasis on 'authoritarian high-modernism' as the source of the grand 37 project of social engineering has also encouraged the notion that legibility was a 38 product of the late nineteenth century, and was primarily European. 39

In his recent history of the 100 million people who live in the highland territories 40 of Southeast Asia, *The Art of Not Being Governed*, Scott's theory of legibility has 41 changed in significant ways (Scott 2009). Gone now is the emphasis on European 42

1 authoritarian modernism; it is replaced by the long-wave power of the rice-growing 2 states of Southeast Asia. Legibility remains an all-purpose description of knowing. typically derived metaphorically. Grain farming, especially rice-growing, he argues, 3 4 was much more 'legible to the state' than the production of root crops (Scott 2009, 5 41). But the idea is also distinguished by the very long history of literary state-6 building in Asia. This prompts an entirely new, and important, emphasis on the 7 history and politics of Asian state-making. 'Nonliterate peoples in the Southeast Asian massif', he argues, 'have for more than two thousand years lived in contact 8 9 with one or more states with small literate minorities, texts, and written records. They have had to position themselves vis-à-vis such states' (Scott 2009, 221). Regis-10 tration, once again, is attributed a critical, but in fact empirically largely unexamined, 11 role in the development of these states. 'It is hard to conceive', Scott writes, 'of a 12 padi state without cadastral maps of taxable land, registration lists for corvée labor, 13 receipts, record keeping, royal decrees, legal codes, specific agreements and 14 15 contracts, and lists, lists, lists - in short, without writing' (Scott 2009, law 228).

What is particularly provocative about Scott's new argument is his claim that 16 17 the peoples of the hills have deliberately crafted their own marginalization from the padi states, and that the key instrument of their independence was illiteracy. 18 19 a conscious rejection or abandonment of writing. For these hill societies - which 20 Scott describes as democratic, economically unhierarchical and 'egalitarian in gender terms' (2009, 218) – the technologies of the documentary state are a threat; 21 'the world of literacy and writing', he writes, was 'a clear and present danger' (Scott 22 23 2009, 229).1

24 Scott's writing resonates with a pervasive academic scepticism about bureaucracy and state-driven development in the international social sciences, which 25 26 affects the way the social sciences have approached registration. There are certainly well-established grounds, dating back to the 1930s, to view the developmental 27 28 promises of the state in Africa and India with mistrust, and to doubt the beneficial 29 effects that officials and experts profess in the design of development programmes 30 (Ferguson 1990, 1999, 1-81, 234-254; Cooper 1996, esp. 57-170, 2002, 38-65, 31 85–132; Ferguson and Gupta 2002). A major part of this international scholarly

32

33 ¹ Scott intends this study to have broader significance than a local account of the hill peoples of Southeast 34 Asia. He suggests that similar processes were at work in the makings of the independence of the Cossacks of the Ukrainian steppe and the scattered highland peoples of Africa. And there is certainly power in 35 his suggestive discussions of the effects of terrain on states' abilities to project their power. In southern 36 Africa, the independence of the highland people of Swaziland and Lesotho (or the Berg-Dama of 37 Namibia) was certainly aided by the difficulties that both white and black forces faced in the nineteenth 38 century entering their terrain. But there is, in the present at least, little of the political virtue that Scott 39 perceives in the societies of the hill peoples. Quite apart from their fiercely patriarchal and undemocratic social order, both Swaziland and Lesotho have paid a terrible price for their administrative independence. 40 Life expectancy at birth in both countries has collapsed to the low-thirties in the face of the AIDS 41 epidemic, and large numbers of people in both countries have called for the abolition of their 42 independence because the state is unable to provide basic services (Smith 2010).

cynicism about the possibility of the state ever providing meaningful remedies for 1 the poor derives from the very widespread rejection of nationalism and communism 2 amongst Indian scholars in the wake of the 1975 Emergency (Guha 2008; Menon 3 2005, 2006, x). A similar mood of angry disillusion has become common in many 4 African countries (Ake 1987; Thiong'o 2007). Nor is the state the only villain in 5 the social science of this development predicament. Historians have re-examined 6 the role of expert knowledge (Mitchell 2002; Hodge 2007), and anthropologists 7 have looked closely at the de-politicizing effects of the campaigns of donor-funded 8 9 human rights organizations (Englund 2006; Brown 2004).

Yet there are also good contemporary grounds to be cautious about the dismissive 10 inclination that follows from viewing registration, as Scott and many others have, 11 as intrinsically coercive. The most obvious is that there seems to be a performative 12 contradiction at work between the glorification of escape and marginality for 13 the poor while the wealthy in the industrial democracies (including many social 14 scientists) scramble to secure access for their families to state-supported schools, 15 well-policed neighbourhoods, properties, hospitals and universities (all of which 16 function on the basis of civil registration systems). Another only apparently trivial 17 reason is that the determined Nietzschean scepticism about the intellectual aims 18 of the modern state can, and probably will, very quickly dissolve into a glorification 19 of the unregulated (because unregistered) market, or provide an inadvertent 20 rationalization for the preservation of an inequitable status quo. 21

But the most important reason to re-examine the politics of registration is that 22 it highlights the very different state form that exists in many of the regions of the 23 world with weak or non-existent infrastructures for recording their citizens' vital 24 events. African historians have called this state, which is typical of both the colony 25 and its successor, the 'gatekeeper'. Fred Cooper, who has analysed its character 26 and limits in many works, shows that African gatekeeper states 'had weak instru-27 ments for entering the social and cultural realm' and, critically, that they survived 28 by standing 'astride the intersection of the colonial territory and the outside world' 29 (Cooper 2002, 5, 1996, 465-466). Far from an oppressive and ubiquitous will to 30 know, African states were built in an informational void without the ability to 'track 31 the individual body or understand the dynamics of the social body' (Cooper 1996, 32 335). Many important studies have discussed the forms of cheap indirect rule, blind 33 tax-farming and skeletal physical and administrative infrastructure that charac-34 terized the colonial state (Berry 1993, 22-42; Herbst 2000, 161-170; Mamdani 35 1996, 37-61; Young 1994, 100-129; Rodney 1982, 208-209; Ake 1991, 38). 36 Typically the colonial state in Africa was built to control the railway, a harbour, 37 or the city, and it relied heavily on revenues from the export of a single commodity. 38 This has changed little in the post-colonial era, with only the state's ability to 'defend 39 the gate' undergoing any significant variation. The chapters here by Doyle (Chapter 40 10), Breckenridge (Chapter 14), Cooper (Chapter 15) and Lund (Chapter 18) 41 examine efforts to extend the infrastructure of states in Africa by adopting systems 42 of universal registration. These studies suggest some of the possibilities and the limits of the very influential rights-based approach to development policy.

1

2

3 In development economics, political science, and policy making, Amartya Sen's 4 effort to combine the statistical insights of economics with the normative calculus 5 of philosophy may be the most influential body of scholarly work that currently exists (Sen 1982, 1999; Dreze and Sen 1989). Key to the new 'human science of 6 7 development' that Sen has pioneered is the idea that remedies for poverty are 8 political, organized around the recognition of entitlements. As many of the chapters 9 here show, much of the ability to couch claims about entitlements, or secondary 10 rights, to land, welfare, education, and health in a process of 'open public reasoning' has typically depended on the infrastructure of an existing system of civil 11 registration (Sen 2004, 356; see also Nancy Fraser's discussion of needs-talk in 12 the welfare state (Fraser 1989, 161–183)). Certainly, as Lund's study here of the 13 14 South African Child Support Grant shows, large-scale systems of social assistance require working civil registration (see Chapter 18). One of the key questions that 15 Ferguson examines in his chapter on the history and theory of welfare in Africa is 16 whether the new biometric technologies will support new kinds of universally 17 18 distributed secondary rights without requiring an elaborate, and onerous, admini-19 strative infrastructure (see Chapter 19).

20 There are, of course, many critics of these rights-based arguments for development policy, especially in Africa and particularly where the rights at issue are liberal 21 or first-order freedoms. A long time ago Claude Ake pointed out that most Africans 22 23 face a 'totally consuming struggle' that leaves them little time or interest in freedoms of speech or assembly. 'There is', as he put it, 'no freedom for hungry people' (Ake 24 1987). Other scholars have suggested that human rights arguments may actually 25 be an 'antipolitical politics of suffering' generating new forms of undemocratic 26 institutional power and avenues for elite accumulation (Brown 2004, 461). This is 27 28 the conclusion also of Englund's ethnography of non-governmental human rights 29 in contemporary Malawi (Englund 2006).

Yet some of the most thoughtful commentators on the politics of contemporary 30 Africa have suggested that it is only in the provision of an infrastructure of second-31 32 order rights that the African poor can begin to reverse the ongoing decline in quality of life on the continent. Even Ake has argued that if 'a bill of rights is to make any 33 34 sense, it must include among others, a right to work and to a living wage, a right to shelter, to health, to education' (Ake 1987, 10). And the eminent Ugandan legal 35 scholar, Joe Oloka-Onyango, has argued that 'without a strategy that combines both 36 the aspirations for political liberation with the imperatives of economic sustenance 37 and empowerment, any gains will quickly disintegrate' (Oloka-Onyango 1995). 38 39 In South Africa, much of the political debate of the last decade has been focused 40 on the question of how these rights, enshrined in the 1994 constitution, can actually be secured. Chapter 19 by Ferguson examines how these difficult struggles 41 42 coalesced in the demand for, and debates around, a Basic Income Grant; Chapter 18 by Lund looks at the project of secondary rights that was implemented by a1committee she chaired that made recommendations for a new form of support for2poor children. This Child Support Grant is an important part of a more compre-3hensive set of welfare benefits that are received by one-third of the South African4population and serves as a global model for addressing poverty.5

Birth, death and marriage registration systems may, then, be the most extensive,6and important, of the informational infrastructures that have been highlighted by7Bowker and Starr (1999). They are, like almost all similar systems, shot through8with standards and categories whose historical evolution is often obscured in a9paradoxically undocumented provenance, stretching deep into the past, as this10volume shows; and, like most such infrastructures, they are practically invisible,11until they break down or face well-organized political opposition.12

When critics of Sen's argument about the necessity of extending secondary rights 13 to the world's poor question its feasibility as compared to the liberal, first-order 14 rights which are 'not difficult to institute' (Maurice Cranston, cited in Sen 2004, 15 348), they are, in effect, pointing to the difficulty of building a currently non-existent 16 infrastructure of documentary registration that would be vital, in the first instance, 17 to ensure recognition to the very existence of all of the individuals of the human 18 population on the planet. Many of the chapters here show that it has been registration 19 - despite its many onerous features - that has been the crucial primary instrument 20 for realizing entitlements and secondary rights wherever they exist, by providing 21 this fundamental condition of recognition. (For contemporary rights, mostly of 22 basic security, see Powell 1980; Setel et al. 2007.) It is possible to discern a great 23 range of political effects flowing from these systems of registration. They may, as 24 Setel et al. have recently argued, demonstrate the state's political determination to 25 adopt the role of 'stewardship' towards their populace and also the equally important 26 matter of 'reciprocal trust in their government by the registered population' (Setel 27 et al. 2007, 1575). A more likely outcome, however, may be a bad-tempered 28 embrace between those with property and income and those without. 29

Of course, registration, as Kafka would insist, may also contribute very signifi-30 cantly to the inflated, and exasperating, self-authorizing powers of bureaucracy. It 31 can encourage, as Fahrmeir's study of Prussia (Chapter 3) suggests, open-ended 32 and probably fruitless exercises of information gathering, something which was 33 already present in seventeenth-century England (Slack 2004, 57) and which 34 certainly seems to be a significant problem of the contemporary British state today, 35 which has adopted the role of global outrider in the development of intrusive data-36 bases (Anderson et al. 2009). Commonly, onerous and coercive systems of regis-37 tration can create conditions for subversion and opportunities for rent-seeking that 38 defeat the ends that officials have in mind (as Chapter 9 by MacDonald shows). 39 But registration systems can also collapse or become stagnant or partial operations 40 surviving among only some parts of a population (as Chapter 2 by Szreter notes 41 of several US states); they may (as von Glahn shows in Chapter 1) construct an 42 alienated administrative representation that bears little or no relationship with the world of living human beings; or they may be subordinated (as Herzog shows in Chapter 7) to other legal and reputational practices. The point, surely, is that there are very significant grounds to examine and conceptualize these contingent histories much more carefully than scholars have managed to date.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

Registration in history

9 In the broader historical accounts of twentieth-century state formation, as in the 10 theory, registration appears only indirectly and fleetingly. Theda Skocpol's study 11 of the paradoxical interaction of democratic politics, gender and reform in the 12 distinctive history of the welfare state in the US is a good example of this approach 13 (Skocpol 1992). She shows that in the 1920s women's organizations were able to 14 use the reformers' fear of the corrupting effects of pensions for Civil War veterans, 15 and the multi-layered architecture of the US Federal system, to foster the develop-16 ment of the Federal Children's Bureau and pensions for needy (and deserving) 17 mothers. A key tool in the feminist organizations' campaign for mothers' pensions, 18 and also in the activities of the short-lived Federal Children's Bureau, was the effort 19 to improve the scope and accuracy of birth registration. By the end of the 1920s 20 both political parties had lost their earlier concern for explicitly women's issues. 21 The Depression provided the fiscal context required for the American Medical 22 Association to re-establish private doctors' responsibility for birth registration, 23 and the abolition of the Children's Bureau and mothers' pensions. While Skocpol 24 shows that registration campaigns were key in both women's mobilization and the 25 implementation of the new forms of social welfare, especially for black and 26 Hispanic populations, her massive study offers little more than a mention of this 27 fact, with the details of the diverse practices of birth registration left to the reader's 28 imagination (Skocpol 1992, 511, 513; and see Chapter 17 by Marshall in this 29 volume for further information).

30 For the social historians who have confronted registration directly as a tool of 31 segregation, it is the meticulous administrative arrangements of twentieth-century 32 genocide that have loomed ominously in the analytical background. The most 33 influential study in this vein in English is Gareth Stedman Jones's Outcast London, 34 which highlighted middle-class reformers' enthusiasm for registration as part of 35 an ambitious and coercive (but mostly unrealized) project of segregating the 36 irredeemably poor urban residuum (Jones 1971, 331, 334–335). This theme, of the 37 register as a threat to the social body, has remained very powerful in historiography; 38 it can be seen, for example, in Overy's recent study of England in the inter-war years, 39 which highlights the popular appeal of the eugenicists' interest in Galtonian registers 40 of the able and the unfit well into the 1930s (Overy 2009: 109-114).

41 Amongst twentieth-century historians, the study by Aly and Roth on the 42 bewildering array of population registers in the racial order of the Third Reich is the most systematic study of registration as a tool of genocide (Aly and Roth 2000). 1 Their book, which provided much of the empirical material for Black's popular IBM 2 and the Holocaust (2001), also shows that the Nazi state's ambitions for the orderly 3 gathering of individualized racial statistics were mostly undone by the costs of 4 registration and the chaos of war. And, perhaps most importantly for our purposes 5 here, they show that the blunt tool of the census was more valuable to the Nazi state 6 in the selection of its Jewish victims than the fine-grained individual data in the many 7 registers (Alv and Roth 2000, 119–120; Luebke and Milton 1994; Seltzer 1998). 8 The European genocide does provide at least one instance in which individualized 9 registration served as an invaluable tool of genocide, and this is the infamous case 10 of the annihilation of the Jews of the Netherlands with the aid of a sophisticated 11 population register (though a centralized national population register is, of course, 12 a different technology from a system of civil registration) (de Jong 1995, 5: 422-430). 13

The theme of the register – especially the police register – as an instrument of 14 marginalization is common to many societies of the Atlantic (see the essays in 15 Caplan and Torpey 2001; Cole 2001; Sankar 1992; Sekula 1986). And it has very 16 deep historical roots. Valentin Groebner's recent book on the history of identi-17 fication shows that the church and municipalities were using registers to record 18 the names and behaviour of suspect populations long before the rise of centralized 19 government. Groebner tracks, often on the basis of limited evidence, the church's 20 use of registers and certificates in the monitoring of confessions from the early 21 thirteenth century, and the municipalities' use of registers to track migrants, debtors 22 and criminals. By the sixteenth century Groebner describes a pervasive concern to 23 'register everyone and everything' which affected officials, travellers, migrants, 24 and the urban poor (Groebner 2007, 200). 25

This interest in registration as an instrument of an expanding and centralizing 26 state power has also been influential in the study of Empire. Much research has 27 been focused on the colonial census and scholars have casually blurred the distinc-28 tion between enumeration and registration in the debate over the political effects 29 of census categories. Cohn and Dirks, for example, in their 1988 essay on colonial 30 technologies of power argue that the 'state documentation project . . . individualizes 31 and controls through such seemingly neutral activities as assigning census numbers, 32 birth and marriage certificates, draft cards, drivers' licenses' (Cohn and Dirks 1988, 33 225). While the colonial state in India adopted its predecessor's interest in the census 34 as an instrument of government and taxation, neither body had the capacity for a 35 mass programme of either household or individual registration (for an overview, 36 see Chapter 11 by Gopinath; Guha 2003, 148-151). 37

Registration in colonial India (see Chapter 11) was actually very limited in
comparison with nineteenth-century Egypt, eleventh-century China, or seventeenth-
century England, as the chapters by Fahmy (Chapter 13), von Glahn (Chapter 1)38and Szreter (Chapter 2) show. The police, especially during the period of Edward
Henry's tenure as Commissioner of Police in Bengal in the 1890s, were responsible42

1 for the development of the most influential forms of fingerprint registration directed 2 at criminals (Sengoopta 2003). Yet for much of the nineteenth century the colonial 3 state relied on crude and brutal forms of body marking, and officials continued to 4 argue for the preservation of tattooing into the 1870s (Singha 2000; Anderson 2004, 5 15–42). This familiarity with marking the body and the absence of a workable 6 system of registration were key motivations behind the interest in bertillonage in 7 India. And even after Henry's fingerprinting system had become official policy. 8 day-to-day transactions (as Gandhi often complained in his conflict with the 9 Transvaal state) were simply authenticated by means of a single finger-print that was not recorded in a government register (Singha 2000, 183). 10

The colonial society that has experienced the most systematic and enduring 11 forms of individual registration is unmistakably South Africa. By the high-water 12 mark of apartheid, some seventy years after the British occupation of the Transvaal 13 14 initiated the grand schemes that have shaped South African society, the Native 15 Affairs Department's obsession with registration was simply astonishing (Posel 2000, 132). Labour registration, usually targeting male migrants to the diamond, 16 17 sugar and gold industries, was the heart of this order, but it expanded outward to 18 produce an elaborate web of centralized national record-sets, culminating in the 19 1950s in the national Population Register, the linchpin of racial classification (Kahn 20 1949; Chanock 2001, 410-422; Harries 1994, 18-46; van Onselen 1985; Breckenridge 2005; Bowker and Starr 1999, 195-225; Cole 1967; Savage 1986). 21 Yet even the South African state showed little enthusiasm before 1995 for the 22 23 project of maintaining a system of civil registration for the vast majority of its 24 people. And, as Lund shows in Chapter 18, the Population Register, formally 25 stripped of its racial categories, has provided the democratic state with the means 26 to deliver welfare grants to over a third of the national population.

In general, historians, even in South Africa, have discussed registration at a 27 28 polite distance, and there are strikingly few detailed histories of civil registration 29 in particular. As a study of Maoist China has observed, 'an exhaustive search of 30 the social science and historical literature uncovered only one article dealing with marriage registration in the context of state building'. This was, predictably, Posel's 31 32 study of African marriage registration in South Africa (Diamant 2001, 449; Posel 1995; on the same point, see Loveman 2007, 9). The entire body of published 33 34 work in English by historians dealing with civil registration directly, reviewed here, amounts to little more than a handful of studies. This is intriguing in the light of 35 the significance of these acts of registration both for the form of the state and for 36 the individuals being registered. One of the reasons may be that civil registration 37 has many inter-related social effects and historians have tended to approach the 38 39 subject indirectly using a lens adopted from the subject of state documentation, 40 illegitimacy, marriage, welfare, or social statistics.

41 Where historians have properly examined the development of the large-scale 42 registers of the precocious English state directly, they have been struck by their beneficial quality. The largest and most effective government registers all provided 1 citizens with regulated access to social goods that were provided or protected by 2 the state. Higgs has shown that the Victorian General Register's Office worked 3 mainly as a tool for the resolution of disputes over inherited property. In the twentieth 4 century the largest database of identification, and the 'de facto identity card', was 5 a product of the registration of drivers' licences. Even the project of building a 6 Universal Population Register, which has recently collapsed yet again in the face 7 of widespread popular anxiety, worked in this way. Initially a product of Milner 8 and Kitchener's concern to maximize recruitment, the National Register had admini-9 strative roots in the earlier National Insurance Register and it was fostered by its 10 functions as a ration card (Agar 2003, 121–142; Higgs 2004, 134–143). 11

There is good evidence to suggest that large systems of registration, whether of 12 people or of things, tend to work only when they provide an obvious benefit to the 13 people being targeted. Coercive systems, like the Nazi-era Volkskartei and the 14 apartheid Bewysburo, seem sufficiently vulnerable to subversion and escape to defeat 15 their intended purposes (Alv and Roth 2000, 119-131; Breckenridge 2005). More 16 importantly, as Szreter's study of the introduction of compulsory registration of 17 subjects of the Crown in England in 1538 shows, this system of registration worked 18 because it provided the means for the poor to make claims on the law, a direct good 19 that seems to have produced many indirect benefits (Szreter 2007). Rosental also 20 emphasizes the importance of consent, offering a complementary revisionist account 21 of the evolution of the importance of the juridical and administrative concept of civil 22 status (état civil) in nineteenth-century and early twentieth-century France (see 23 Chapter 5). He argues that French historiography since Foucault has been 24 preoccupied with the policing aspects of identity information and the theme of state 25 control over individuals. Consequently it has neglected to listen to the voices of 26 French citizens from this period who were focused primarily on the importance to 27 them of being able to create certainty of their legal identities for pursuit of their 28 commercial activities in the market economy. Chapter 15 by Cooper confirms that 29 by 1951 the value of the état civil was so pervasively understood in this way that in 30 contemplating how to 'develop' French Africa on the model of the metropole it 31 was self-evident to the French minister concerned that this required a commitment 32 to create and fund a universal identity registration, since for him, 'French citizens 33 have a right to an état-civil and the right to certain identification'. 34

35

36

37 38

Registration and recognition

39 As Flemming's chapter on the classical world emphasizes, registration is derivative from the more fundamental and universal need of human individuals in groups for 40 mutual recognition, as among the Athenian and Roman citizenry (see Chapter 6). 41 Acknowledgement of membership in a collectivity and of one's publicly known 42

1 place within it can be - and often in history was - achieved by a variety of performed 2 rituals. Initiation rites have been studied throughout the world by anthropologists and found to be manifold in their diversity. In the medieval world, performance of 3 4 witnessed oaths of fealty, usually sworn on the bible, a sacred object, was the 5 standard practice for publicly affirming political and military membership, while 6 in the Catholic church, after the fourth Lateran council of 1215 emphasis was 7 increasingly laid on personal attendance at the annual Easter service, for verbal 8 affirmation witnessed by a priest, as defining and confirming one's continuing 9 membership of the flock (Reynolds 2001, 488; see Chapter 2 by Szreter, note 8). Most of these rituals were performative and did not involve documentation but their 10 aim was the same as that of registration, namely to fix a record in the collective 11 memory of the individual's identity and place within a group. As Rosental argues 12 in Chapter 5, this has, for instance, been one of the crucial functions of the process 13 14 of identification by civil status for both individuals and their families throughout 15 the last two centuries in modern France. It is also simultaneously the case that publicly affirming membership of a defined group typically establishes some form 16 17 of obligation towards the other members and the shared authority structure of the 18 group; and reciprocally it is also perceived by the individuals to offer them some 19 valued benefits (non-exclusion, at the least). The nature of this mix of obligations 20 and benefits engenders various reasons why certain rules about membership and participation usually arise, and why, as many of the chapters here show, they are 21 subject to much negotiation, as circumstances and the interests of individuals, 22 23 families and the various registering authorities are all subject to change. They define 24 criteria of inclusion and exclusion in relation to the larger universe of 'other' 25 individuals, with whom the group may be in communication and with whom they 26 may share or contest a physical and socio-cultural environment. While there has been much historical study of the processes and consequences of exclusion, 27 28 inclusion also needs to be problematized by historical research into the agencies 29 involved and the diversity of forms that result.

30 Thus, that central aspect of registration which concerns public recognition may well constitute an eternal and universal characteristic of all human communities, 31 32 with or without literacy or a technology of written records. However, this diverse history of a community registration of its members' mutual recognition often only 33 34 becomes available for us to study in detail when it occurred in societies which documented their recognition processes and where written records have survived, 35 in the form of registers. There is likely therefore to be a profound bias in the 36 documented comparative historical record, which provides us mainly with a history 37 of registration which appears to be strongly tied to those most powerful, persisting 38 39 state-like forms of government which generated and archived most efficiently the 40 records of their processes of registration. This has perhaps misled earlier, pioneering and influential scholars such as Foucault, Goody and Scott to over-emphasize the 41 42 extent to which the registration of any and all information about persons and their properties is indicative primarily of the powers of states and their interests, expressed 1 in the seminal historiographical concepts of 'governmentality' and 'legibility'. 2

Yet, as a number of the studies in the following chapters show, in early modern 3 Europe it was less nation states, and more likely to be the established church (the 4 Roman Catholic Church in Spain and France), congregational denominations (in 5 the Netherlands, and the Quakers in England), parishes and communities (among 6 the English in England and overseas; and among the Germans with their 7 Ortsippbucheren), towns and cities (in colonial and republican North America), 8 or civic associations (the Orphan books in Amsterdam) which attempted to maintain 9 comprehensive (for their purposes) forms of registration (and, for the significance 10 of baptismal registration in Russia and in Brazil, see Steinwedel 2001; Loveman 11 2007). As Saito and Sato show in Chapter 4, very similar local imperatives were 12 also key to the workings of registration in Japan. Often the purposes of the registers 13 were related to the need of these communities, organizations and networks legally 14 and practically to regulate access to forms of collectively-provided assistance, 15 benefits or privileges. Only much later - typically during the course of the nineteenth 16 century - did nation states create the civil, criminal and other registration systems 17 which served their purposes (Caplan 2001). The influence of Foucault's writings 18 has been to obscure these and other distinctions by wrapping all these activities up 19 as part of grandiose concepts of governmentality and biopower, where the various 20 practices of human accounting are subsumed together as variant manifestations of 21 a single 'modernizing' historical trend, variously dated in his writings either from 22 the eighteenth or the sixteenth century, whereby states create certain characteristic 23 forms of official and legal knowledge about their citizens (Sekula 1986, 10-13; 24 25 Chakrabarty 2002, 82-86).

The conflation of all registering activity with the purposes of the state leads to 26 a tendency in the historical and social science literature to fail to make any sig-27 nificant distinction between registration and enumeration, and the respective records 28 they create (Cohn and Dirks 1988, 225). As a result historians appear to have been 29 too often content to assume that the many interesting historical studies that have 30 been published of the census activities and classification systems of national and 31 colonial governments, and the political ideologies and projects which they can be 32 shown to project, tell us everything we need to know about the processes of 33 documentation of individuals in history and their relationships with institutions of 34 government or governance. Both enumeration and registration can be viewed, from 35 a state perspective, as just different forms of human accounting; and it is true that 36 states have often created and used registers - for their own tax and enlistment 37 purposes for instance, though these are typically only partial registers - often 38 relatively uninterested in female identities, as the chapters here on ancient and early 39 modern Rome, China and Japan all demonstrate. 40

However, the diverse history of registration reveals the potential which the 41 concept also contains for quite different usages and practices, which may have 42

1 almost nothing directly to do with states, their powers and interests (on the ways 2 that families can use registration to make claims on more affluent kin, see, in 3 addition to Chapters 4 and 7 in this volume, Diamant 2001, 4; Mackenzie 2008, 4 527). Registration can involve quite the opposite process to the command and 5 control logic of enumeration. Enumeration and all census-like activities (including 6 the making by authorities of certain kinds of lists of named individuals which are 7 often called 'registers', such as the twentieth-century population registers of the 8 Netherlands) are, by definition, unilateral interventions by governmental agencies 9 to extract defined items of information for administrative and policy purposes. By 10 contrast, that form of registration involved in the process of determining inclusion or exclusion of recognition of membership in a defined collectivity can entail -11 and often has entailed - a much more bilateral process, in which the aims and 12 13 interests of the person being registered may play a significant role. This is, first, in 14 terms of the motive for the process to happen at all with respect to the individual 15 in question: such registration systems frequently fail to persist without the voluntary cooperation of those being registered, as has been seen in many African, Asian 16 17 and Latin American countries, as well as the eighteenth- and nineteenth-century 18 USA. Second, this is in terms of negotiating - or even determining - what kind of identity information is registered as the outcome of the process, as Herzog (Chapter 19 20 7) and Doyle (Chapter 10) clearly show. To view registration exclusively as something imposed by the state or by a superordinate group on a populace of 21 subordinate individuals is an unhelpfully limiting assumption. 22

23 Thus, in conformity with its central, age-old function of providing the service of mutual recognition, the act of registration has an irreducible performative 24 25 and dialectical element to it, which has less scope - or is almost entirely lacking -26 where enumeration is concerned. Negotiation and performativity are almost ubiquitously part of the processes of human interaction, deposition and enquiry, 27 28 which comprise the primary activity, whereby an act of registration occurs - and 29 is agreed to have occurred – by the parties involved in recording it. This authorizing and performative background to registration, and the widespread suspicion of 30 written documents, is well described in the chapters by Flemming (Chapter 6), 31 32 Herzog (Chapter 7), Fahmy (Chapter 13) and Cooper (Chapter 15) (on the longcontested struggle over the authority of written documents, see also Clanchy 1979, 33 34 7; Ong 2002, 105). The information created in the process of registration is typically a 'representational' production. In this negotiated process the possibly diverging 35 interests and aims of the registering and registered agents can provide a dynamic 36 of dialectic. In general the registering agent has tended to be interested in capturing 37 fixed identities, reflecting certain analytic or legal status categories of use to the 38 39 registering authorities. Registering individuals may wish to fix upon themselves 40 different identities from those perceived as valid by the registering agent; or they 41 may wish to deliberately give 'false' identities or to be able to preserve the possi-42 bility of multiple or changed identities, for instance (exemplified in Chapters 7, 8,

9 and 10). The process of registration must always therefore entail some form of
dialectical tension between the legalistic fiction or convention of fixed, defined or
stated identities, and the more messy social and cultural reality of individuals'
are a capacities for having multiple attributed, aspirational, or imagined relations of
tidentity and goals for their self-representation.1

This may not always be obvious from the surviving documents which appear 6 to record information merely in fairly standardized forms, but through historical 7 research we can sometimes recover an understanding of the representational 8 performances being recorded at registration. For instance, the entries in Domesday 9 Book were understood at the time as the record of a performative legal process 10 involving the adjudication of sworn affirmations between competing protagonists 11 over title to land and movable property ownership, which occurred in many local 12 contests held before commissioners holding court around the country (Baxter 13 2012). As several of the chapters here exemplify and eloquently demonstrate, the 14 information in identity registration documents can be the outcome of a strongly 15 performative and highly negotiated process. Chapter 7 by Herzog on the Spanish 16 in early modern Spain and colonial Latin America, Chapter 9 by MacDonald on 17 Indian Ocean migrants seeking entry to South Africa, Chapter 10 by Doyle on those 18 migrants making choices of the names they wished to register in twentieth-century 19 Uganda in order best to assimilate, and the opening and closing story presented by 20 Looijesteijn and van Leeuwen (Chapter 8), each skilfully demonstrate and document 21 these processes of negotiation, which are not usually available for us to see in the 22 written record. However, as all of these chapters also make clear in their diverse 23 contexts, that performative negotiation is in fact a ubiquitous option - albeit often 24 a surreptitious and therefore hidden one - whenever official and legal categories 25 are registered. Some individuals amass great legal competence and dialectic skill 26 in dealing with official procedures and the registering technologies they confronted, 27 to achieve the representational outcomes for registration that they wanted (Sadiq 28 2009). 29

Identity registration systems can provide several valued services at once to 30 different constituencies, so that even when it can be shown that a central state created 31 any particular system for a specific purpose – perhaps even a repressive purpose – 32 that does not necessarily exhaust its historical importance and cultural significance 33 for the registering populace in question. As shown in the chapters on England and 34 Japan, it seems most plausible that identity registration systems were set up in 35 each of these two early modern states with the motive to flush-out and suppress 36 religious unorthodoxy. But in both cases the technologies persisted because they 37 were found useful by officials and subjects for a number of further reasons. Notably 38 in England and Wales parish records of baptisms, burials and marriages, registered 39 for free by the church's ministers and maintained for consultation in Diocesan 40 archives, provided an invaluable practical tool for the efficient operation of the 41 law in relation to property transfers between individuals and also for settling 42

1 disputes over legal rights to relief (the absolute entitlement of all subjects of the 2 Crown in England and Wales to material support from their parish of settlement, as recently shown by Charlesworth (2010)). However this was not the case, for 3 4 instance, in early modern France. Here, despite a royal edict to establish parish 5 registers almost simultaneous with Henry VIII's innovation in sixteenth-century 6 England, the French registers failed to attract the full participation of the populace 7 to become an established and well-maintained nationwide system as they did in 8 England and Wales. In his chapter on France, Rosental notes that there the state's 9 efforts prioritized the systematic registration of property, rather than people, delegating this crucial tax-related function primarily to notaries and a separate state 10 Registry, not to parish priests and their parochial registers (see Chapter 5). There 11 never developed in France a mechanism tightly linking registration to demotic 12 welfare rights. Thus, parish registration in England and Wales - but not in France 13 14 - has, since its origins, had a crucial role to play in the sphere of law and of subjects' 15 and citizens' social security and economic rights within the law.

16 17 18

19

Registration and legal empowerment

20 This relates to a further major limitation in the historiographical inheritance from Foucault and Scott. This has been the tendency of their sweeping historical inter-21 22 pretations to flatten distinctions between the province of law and that of the state. 23 Scott's notion of an 'authoritarian high modernism', exemplified in the notorious 24 usage of population registers in the twentieth century for thoroughgoing state 25 planning - and worse - by the totalitarian Nazi, Soviet and East European 'com-26 munist' regimes, along with the labour and migration-regulating registers of imperialist powers such as the British in East and South Africa, has contributed to 27 28 the strong impression in this area of scholarship that, where identity registration is 29 concerned, state and law are synonymous. The state makes the laws according to 30 its plans and supervises the resulting information systems for its own purposes. According to this viewpoint the primary task of historical scholarship is to demon-31 32 strate the many ways in which legal forms of identity documentation reflect the power and will to knowledge of the state, a perspective apparent in many of the 33 34 pioneering contributory chapters in Caplan and Torpey (2001).

However the sphere of law is not at all necessarily one and the same thing as 35 the sphere of state policy and legislation, at least not in the Anglo-Saxon common 36 law legal tradition, which, via the USA and the British Empire, has been adapted 37 into the legal systems of many parts of the world. For instance, the identity 38 39 registration systems provided by the parish and non-conformists' registers played 40 such a valued role for propertied citizens in their legal transactions in early modern England and Wales, that, as Szreter shows in Chapter 2, laws creating such legal 41 42 services for all were passed by English communities, including dissenters, wherever they settled overseas in North America and the Caribbean during the seventeenth 1 and early eighteenth centuries. Indeed, while in England, by the end of the seven-2 teenth century, the dissenting Quakers had created their own efficient registers, 3 the extraordinary evidence presented in Chapter 8 by Looijesteijn and van Leeuwen 4 shows the proliferation at this time of non-state registering bodies for a host of 5 different purposes in the Netherlands. It could therefore be argued that both in 6 England and in Holland, arguably the two most militarily, fiscally and commercially 7 effective states of the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries, the strong 8 state, itself supporting systems of registration for its own purposes, was not 9 expanding its information-processing apparatus at the expense of civil society, 10 but rather that a rich and self-registering civil society was partly piggy-backing on 11 the state's systems and partly creating its own commercial and legal information 12 systems in parallel, as the two - strong state and strong civil society - operated in 13 synergy (see Higgs 2004 for the English history of this process). 14

The essential point here is that, unlike census and other enumerative exercises, 15 identity registration systems, including those sponsored and maintained by the state, 16 represent a *legal* technology and resource which can be empowering and of high 17 economic and social utility to individuals, by enabling their legally-recognized 18 personhoods to pursue their own self-interested purposes, completely independently 19 of the state's policies and plans. Accessible registration systems can provide an 20 important service which reduces transaction costs and facilitates security and 21 confidence in the way in which the rule of law mediates economic and social life, 22 thereby contributing to the expansion and vigour of civic society, quite inde-23 pendently of the activities of the state. In the terminology of law, identity registration 24 systems have been crucial practical tools which have facilitated the endowing of 25 all individuals with legal personhood, which is defined as the entity to which rights 26 and duties are ascribed in law. This is true today of human rights, which mean 27 little in practice if they are not conferred on identified and self-identifiable indi-28 viduals with recognized membership of a national jurisdiction - this is a practical 29 condition for the individual to enjoy actionable legal personhood. Registration -30 official recognition of existence and an identity - is the grounding and basis for 31 personhood and human rights. 32

Whether, however, registration systems do, in fact, come to play such a role, 33 empowering individual subjects and citizens in this way or, alternatively, disem-34 powering and controlling them, according to the more historiographically familiar 35 accounts of the state's uses of such systems, is a historically contingent matter, 36 related to many diverse influences. The scholarly contributions in the chapters 37 collected here document many rich examples of that historical diversity and enable 38 us to discern the nature of some of these important influences on the form that 39 registration has taken, resulting in such different outcomes. 40

Obviously the ideological predisposition of the state and its rulers and the nature 41 of the political context mattered enormously. However, it is not necessarily the case 42

1 that we can expect to read-off simple equations between imperialist states with 2 extractive ideologies and the creation and deployment of registration systems for repressive, disempowering purposes imposed on alien peoples. Two contrasting 3 4 examples of the interaction between the British state and registration at the same 5 point in time in the early nineteenth century illustrate this. As Engerman shows in 6 Chapter 12, as part of one of the most surprising episodes of the triumph of 7 humanitarian moral sentiment over short-term powerful financial vested interests. 8 abolitionists within British civil society contrived to use officially-created slave 9 registers to serve their purposes to secure immensely costly legislation from the British state to emancipate the registered slaves, the most subordinate of peoples 10 in the colonial Caribbean islands. (See Draper (2009) on the subsequent importance 11 of the slave registers for facilitating the detailed calculations required for the 12 machinery of compensation enabling a 'fair' distribution of the spoils of 20 million 13 14 pounds among the slave-owners - compensation was not, of course, paid to the 15 slaves.) However, by contrast, the same British state in Westminster made no effort to endow the Catholic peasants of Ireland with the civil registration system created 16 17 for England and Wales in 1836, despite the 1801 Act of Union having politically 18 integrated Ireland into the United Kingdom. Consequently the brand new Irish Poor 19 Law created in 1838 lacked the essential registration infrastructure (not created 20 for Ireland until 1864), which had enabled the English Poor Law to function so effectively as to have protected the English poor from any dearth-related famine 21 mortality for over two centuries (Szreter 2007). The consequence of creating a mere 22 23 simulacrum of a Poor Law in Ireland, lacking both a recognized legal right to relief 24 in one's attested parish of settlement and also the associated information system 25 of registration - necessary to record settlement rights - was that the single most 26 disastrous episode of mass death by starvation in British imperial history occurred, not in the colonies, but within the United Kingdom itself (all of Ireland was an 27 28 integral part of the United Kingdom following the Act of Union of 1801), and 29 as recently as 1846–1849, at a time when the British state represented the most 30 economically advanced and resourceful imperial power on the face of the earth (Charlesworth 2010, 175-180). 31

32 Foucauldians have emphasized the disciplinary (and sometimes genocidal) purposes of modern state information systems in moulding the subjectivity of 33 34 their own citizens (Scott 1998; Burchell et al. 1991; Dean 1999; Rose 1991, 1999; Ferguson and Gupta 2002; for the intrinsically genocidal character of biopower, 35 see Agamben 1998, 119–159). However the Irish famine indicates that an even worse 36 fate may befall those in the modern world of market economies who are not recorded 37 at all in such official registers and consequently have no practical legal rights since, 38 39 as both legal persons and recognized individuals, they do not exist. As Cooper 40 (Chapter 15) and Breckenridge (Chapter 14) show here, both the French and the South African states, at different times in the twentieth century, assessed the costs 41 42 and benefits of registration for Africans and intentionally chose to abandon their

existing plans for granting such recognition - remaining in ignorance of African 1 2 individuals may not have been ideal for the colonial authorities but it was perceived to be less expensive to know them only indirectly and work with the headmen. There 3 are also new forms of administrative desolation. Recently Ferguson has pointed to 4 the increasingly common forms of enclave development, especially on the African 5 continent, where all the key elements of government - security, health care, sanitation 6 - are provided by privately owned companies for the sole benefit of multinational 7 8 mineral firms and their labour forces. Under those circumstances the general poor bear the costs of industrial development, without being able to derive any meaningful 9 entitlements from the companies or the state (Ferguson 2006, 194-210). 10

> 11 12

13 14

Registration and the modern state?

15 The extraordinary chapter by von Glahn (Chapter 1) drives a coach and horses through another Foucauldian canard, that the registering, planning and controlling 16 state is a product of European modernity somewhere between the sixteenth and 17 eighteenth centuries (see the essays in Burchell et al. 1991). Von Glahn narrates 18 a long, complex and continuous history of fully-documented enumeration and 19 registration, articulated at village, prefectural and state levels in China throughout 20 a period of a thousand years or more before the flowering of 'governmentality' or 21 'biopower' in the west. He documents familiar motives of preserving social order 22 throughout a large empire, but this was not simply for the sake of control and 23 repression (though there was certainly plenty of that, notably through the ingenious 24 and callous device of imposing collective tax responsibility on all families within 25 a community, as von Glahn shows). Successive Chinese regimes used registers to 26 tax and raise a military levy for external defence, but were equally aware of the 27 28 need to use population information to promote the food and social security of their peasant populations to ensure internal security. Thus, registration is not, as 29 Foucauldians have supposed, a paradigm exemplification of the novelty of an 30 exclusively western 'modernity' intimately associated with the liberal nation-state 31 project of governmentality and western imperialism. 32

China, for so much longer than anywhere else a continuously politically unified 33 society based, throughout extensive though fluctuating parts of its territory, on a 34 relatively densely settled agrarian economy, was probably among the first to address 35 the informational needs that result from a relatively high labour-land ratio. Such 36 relatively high ratios were also true of Golden Age Holland and early modern 37 southern England and also Japan at the same time in the seventeenth century, where 38 39 the koseki household registration forms were introduced and then widely retained (see Chapter 4). Here, perhaps, we come to another powerful, general influence on 40 the comparative history of identity registrations systems, quite distinct from - though 41 undoubtedly mediated through - politics, culture and ideology: the land-labour ratio. 42 Goody tracked this association between carefully established land titles (and tax registration) from the first written records in Mesopotamia, and it is a dynamic that informs almost all of the regional studies published here (Goody 1986, 47, 63).

1

2

3

4 It is striking, given the precocity of Chinese investment in diverse forms of 5 registration of individuals, how contrastingly late to develop and invest in such systems were the peoples of sub-Saharan Africa and North America. Large parts 6 7 of the African continent and tracts of the United States were equally without effective 8 registration of persons in the period *circa* 1880–1914, a time when registration 9 was relatively ubiquitous in Western Europe, the economic peer of the USA and the effective ruler of much of Africa. Even though they were at that time at opposite 10 poles in terms of commercial and economic development, much of the USA and 11 sub-Saharan Africa did however share an almost equally low land-labour ratio. 12 Egypt, by contrast, the one part of Africa with a long history of population 13 14 registration since Classical times, always had a much higher land-labour ratio along 15 the banks of the Nile and an island-like accessibility of its population to its rulers owing to their proximity to the communication channel of the Nile. It may be that 16 17 in some times and places, such as the western and mid-western USA until the 1920s. 18 despite having become part of a commercially vigorous continental economy, welldeveloped land registers satisfactorily performed one of the key functions of an 19 20 identity registration system, thereby reducing any economic incentives among the population to perceive an urgent need for a personal identity registration system 21 (De Soto 2000). There are echoes here of the situation in early modern France where 22 23 a well-developed Registry of property went alongside a more partial and less efficient parish register system. While an identity-bearing information system can 24 25 serve several purposes, it is also true that systems providing functional identity registration can exist in many forms and can be created both by central and local 26 governments as well as by commercial, religious and other civic organizations. 27 28 We cannot study the comparative history and uses of registration without taking 29 into account all extant systems of identity information in each society, rather than privileging just one type, such as the census or, indeed, civic registration. 30

The incomplete and absent nature of personal registration systems for individuals 31 32 at birth in many of the states of the union became the focus for a reforming campaign spearheaded by public health and census officials in the USA in the opening decades 33 34 of the twentieth century. One of its protagonists, Cressy Wilbur, Chief Statistician at the Federal Census Bureau in Washington, reviewing the geographical pattern 35 in 1907, was of the view that 'lack of sufficient density of population is one of the 36 most obvious reasons for failure of extension of adequate registration methods in 37 the United States' (Wilbur 1907, 398). Chapter 17 by Marshall documents how, 38 39 as part of this campaign, later in the 1920s the American Child Health Association 40 connected its own primary mission to build a network of institutions to promote child welfare with the need to extend US systems of birth registration. Marshall's 41 42 chapter intriguingly demonstrates how similar to this were the arguments of the links between the two, child welfare and birth registration, which were made at 1 the innovative 1931 Conference on the African Child organized by Save the 2 Children International. Furthermore, Birn also uncovers this political and ideo-3 logical linkage between welfare and registration in her chapter which argues that 4 Uruguay, the first state in Latin America to pioneer a drive to full vital registration 5 in the late nineteenth century - partly in response to concerns at its low birth rate 6 - may have a claim to have passed the first national legislation which specified the 7 importance of all children's right to a name and to familial identification in its 8 Children's Code of 1934 (see Chapter 16). 9

After the Second World War under the aegis of the United Nations there was to 10 emerge the famous UN Statement of Human Rights. However, curiously this did 11 not include the right to registration we here see adumbrated in the deliberations and 12 international conferences of various philanthropic voluntary organizations focusing 13 their attentions on child welfare in the USA and Africa, and in the policies of the 14 Uruguayan government during the 1930s. There is an account yet to be fully 15 uncovered (see Chapter 16, note 40) of why such a clause was omitted in 1948 and 16 was not to appear in UN documents until a decade later, finally appearing as Principle 17 3 of the 1959 Declaration of the Rights of the Child and subsequently as article 24, 18 clause 2 of the ICCPR Covenant of 1966. This was a covenant which did not enter 19 into force, however, until 1976 when thirty-five states had ratified it. By this time, 20 most unfortunately, the incipient and partial registration systems of the many ex-21 colonial countries, including those in sub-Saharan Africa and the Indian sub-22 continent, had fallen into all but complete disrepair. The call for action at Geneva 23 in 1931 had never been carried through, as Kuczynski documented in his survey 24 (Kuczynski 1948, 1949). Furthermore, unlike in Latin American Uruguay, such 25 systems of population registration often only had negative associations for the newly-26 independent nations and their governments in South Asia and in Africa (which 27 emerged during the two decades of decolonization after the Second World War), 28 connected as they were with past systems of colonial taxation or labour and migration 29 control, such as the kipandi and Pass systems in Africa. Once again we see here the 30 long-term influence on the comparative history of the infrastructure of registration 31 - both its presence and its absence - of politics and ideology. 32

- 33
- 34

36

Registration and the technologies of recognition and representation 35

Finally the history of technology is also another important influence on the 37 possibilities available to a group for affirming recognition among its members. 38 For registration to acquire the characteristics of a system, four linked processes 39 are involved: registering; recording; archiving; and accessing (or retrieval). These 40 four technical components can each, independently or interactively, be subject to 41 technological innovations; and their characteristics – and any changes in their 42

1 character - in turn, imply and require varying forms of social capabilities and 2 cultural resources, both in the registering organizations and among the registering 3 populace. Thus, when we say that today there are no registration systems in many 4 parts of sub-Saharan Africa, and in parts of South Asia and South and Central 5 America, we mean that the groups, networks and communities living in these areas 6 rely mainly on non-literacy-based oral or performative ritual methods for estab-7 lishing mutual recognition, rights and obligations among themselves. All four 8 processes of registration in fact occur but they do so through the most fundamental 9 technology: oral, performative and mnemonic. There can be only very limited communication or exchange of services between the poor and their national gov-10 ernments in such circumstances. 11

12 By contrast, those citizens who live today in one of the economically-advanced OECD countries - the liberal democracies recognizing the rule of law and human 13 14 rights - interact with many literacy- and numeracy-based registration systems operated by government, commercial, religious and civil organizations. Indeed, the 15 literacy and numeracy technology has become digitalized and computer-automated 16 17 in these countries and these organizations have access to large, electronically 18 searchable databases of identities, along with a variety of associated information 19 on the registered individuals (Bennett and Lyon 2008). Individuals in these societies 20 typically have rich commerce with their national and local governments, exchanging their tax payments for a range of valued services, while also interacting with many 21 other organizations and networks of individuals, most of whom, in theory, they 22 23 have chosen to engage with for commercial or vocational reasons (though there is 24 an increasingly sensitive legal issue whenever individuals believe they have not 25 consented to their identity appearing on such a database). Thirdly, there is a diversity of further countries between these two polar types of locally and orally registering 26 versus poly-registering, densely cyber-networked societies. This is where the central 27 28 government, either de jure or de facto, holds a virtual monopoly of the more 29 extensive literacy-based and IT registration systems on the population and where 30 these systems exist primarily because the central state has found them to be of use for planning, policy and security purposes. This was the case in the USSR and the 31 32 Comecon countries before 1989 and remains largely true in the important case of China today, though the Chinese state is struggling to retain a monopoly on such 33 34 systems in the face of the countervailing attractiveness of the internet to its nation of would-be consumers and the many commercial and other organizations able to 35 use the internet to build large registers of customers and members. 36

This volume's contributions show, however, that this wide variation in registration practices and implications across the world today is only equal in its diversity to the enormous variety found during the last two millennia. Both contemporary and historic diversity demonstrate not only that changes in technology and in forms of economic exchange produce differences in the registration systems prevailing in different societies, but also that these factors interact with distinctive ideologies and the political contexts in which states operate. Consequently, as these historical 1 2 essays document, there has existed and can exist a profusion of distinct 'registration cultures'. In those communities without literacy-based technologies - but equally 3 in those in which colonial or one-party states hold virtual monopolies of registration 4 - there is likely to be a more limited scope for individuals to enjoy and exploit a 5 diversity of forms of self-representation of their identities and selective association 6 7 with others, except through their skill in illegally manipulating the rigid system, 8 as the chapters by Herzog (Chapter 7) and MacDonald (Chapter 9) exemplify. Societies which are relatively rich in registering resources offer quite different 9 opportunities for their citizens to deploy their own performative and negotiating 10 skills to become legally adept in arranging their diverse memberships to best suit 11 their various commercial and civic needs. Golden Age Holland of the late sixteenth 12 and seventeenth centuries seems to have been a startling example of this prolifera-13 tion of a Habermasian public sphere of multiple associations by recognition, 14 subsequently emulated in this density of representational and registering possi-15 bilities by the 'polite and commercial' urban associational society of eighteenth-16 and nineteenth-century Britain (Morris 1990). These were registration-rich societies 17 of poly-registering individuals, extra-empowered with their access to and use of 18 multiple networks for representing their identities and recording their mutual 19 recognition in a diversity of commercial and other associations. 20

A registration crisis? History and policy

21 22

23 24

25 This volume provides an intellectual resource of comparative historical knowledge with which to judge whether there may, indeed, currently be a crisis of registration 26 approaching and what form that crisis will take. Biometric identification systems 27 originated as ways to create a non-archival register of criminal identification with 28 tattooing, branding and amputation (Groebner 2007; Higgs 2010). Fingerprinting 29 for sub-sections of the population defined as criminal became the first biometric 30 archival method. Using the pretext since 9/11 of defence against global terrorism, 31 it is proposed, through iris-recognition technology, to apply a fully archival bio-32 metrics comprehensively to democratic and non-criminal populations across the 33 world, to confer upon them a security from external threats and also, with the emer-34 gence of basic income grants, their internal social security and positive rights (see 35 Chapter 19; Bennett and Lyon 2008). The interaction of this official registering 36 technology with the application of computerized searchable and potentially linkable 37 database information systems, and also with the emerging trend of commercial 38 supply and delivery of these systems to states, has created an extraordinary 39 conjuncture in the history of identity registration that should be of concern to all citizens. 40

The interaction of these technologies with both state security interests and 41 commercial logics poses significant questions about the necessary regulations 42

1 required, which citizens, their elected governments and their judiciaries should 2 wish to consider. Is there, for instance, a question of 'ownership' that should be 3 considered in relation to the right to use information about a person's identity, once 4 that information has been created and verified as authentic? The popular notion 5 of 'identity theft' would appear to suggest that there is such a sense in common 6 understanding, but does this also amount to a legally defensible concept in practice? 7 If 'ownership' is not quite the appropriate concept for legal purposes, what perhaps 8 of a law reflecting principles of permissive 'informed consent' governing the use 9 of such identity information by third parties or commercial organizations (including those companies increasingly bidding for and charged with the contracts to collect 10 the information in the first place)? If such a right can be defended against a com-11 mercial corporation, can it also be defended against a sovereign state, in particular 12 the state which also provides the institutional resources and the authorizing and 13 14 verifying procedures for the registration system itself? What is the relationship between the individual and the state over the question of the right to control or 15 change a registered identity? The individual cannot be a self-authorizing and self-16 verifying agency in relation to his/her identity. The state and its archiving and 17 18 legal systems are ultimately necessary to provide this verification and authorization role. There are therefore irreducibly at least two distinct parties with an approxi-19 20 mately equal, or at least complementary or reciprocal, stake and claim in creating what we refer to as a legally-valid and enforceable personal identity: the individual 21 in question and the verifying and recording state – or, at least, its devolved legal 22 23 registration agency. Each such state currently issues passports, for instance documents which epitomize this duality. If it is considered important that an 24 25 individual is to have the right to exercise personal choice in changing aspects of his or her legally-recognized identity (gender for instance), then there would need 26 to be agreed and easily-accessible (though secure) procedures for doing this, which 27 28 could satisfy the state's function as guarantor of the registration of identities. Some 29 states deny or resist the possibility for individuals to vary by choice their identity once the state has made an original determination, which is then treated as 30 permanent. Is this rule simply for the convenience of the state, or because it is 31 32 claimed to uphold an ethical, legal or security principle of vital importance?

Can history assist with providing a perspective on these current predicaments 33 34 concerning the future of registration, due to this intense set of international, technological, commercial and political developments that have all come together 35 during the last ten years? At the moment we face these issues as a citizenry and set 36 of experts who are equally uninformed of the rich and deep comparative history 37 of the technologies of representation and recognition. This book provides a set of 38 39 empirically-researched historical resources with which to address these contem-40 porary problems. There are chapters here which uncover the rich but previously largely invisible histories of the evolution of these important infrastructures of 41 42 social rights in European, American and Asian societies. There are many chapters

which explore the history of registration in Africa, as well as in India and China, 1 the three greatest population centres of the poor in the world today, where 2 registration is a highly contentious issue affecting a large proportion of the world's 3 populace. There are chapters which explore the curious absence of direct rela-4 tionship between the modern history of identity registration and the emergence of 5 the international human rights agenda. And there are several contributions which 6 explicitly link the diversity of historical information presented to the highly 7 contemporary policy questions of the future of identity registration in a biometric 8 and commercialized global context preoccupied with internal and external security. 9

> 10 11

> 12 13

Conclusion: the concept of registration in the humanities

The novelty of registration as a subject of study lies in its differentiation from the14previously-studied topics which have addressed other aspects of the history of15identifying and accounting for individuals, often within a Foucauldian framework:16enumeration and censuses; identification systems developed for policing, labour17migration and border control purposes; and the study of vital statistics for public18health, demographic and economic uses.19

The form of registration which is the subject of study in this volume is the 20 registration of persons for purposes of conferring public recognition of their legal 21 personhood and status. Registration in this sense confers acknowledged rights and 22 obligations in relation to other members of the community of mutual recogni-23 tion. This might be termed 'civil registration'. However, that term has only previ-24 ously been used of the administrative practices supposedly originating in post-25 Enlightenment 'western' liberal, secular democratic polities of the nineteenth and 26 twentieth centuries. The studies collected here indicate the existence of 'registration 27 as recognition' as a fundamental feature of human life in groups - and therefore 28 occurring ubiquitously in a wide variety of other contexts and time periods 29 throughout human history, even though the earliest records of written, documented 30 forms of registration are only available with the rise of literate and powerful state 31 and imperial bureaucracies. 32

'Registration' has previously been hidden from analytical view to historians
and social scientists because of its superficial similarity to several other purely
documentary practices requiring the registering and rendering legible of individuals
for various governmental purposes. But in fact registration, in the form that has
provided the focus for the studies presented in this volume, is an entirely distinct
and separable concept.

It is a central argument of this collection of studies that the subject of registration itself needs to be 'registered' in the academic world. Registration needs to be recognized and explicitly acknowledged as a critical concept with the capacity to illuminate comparative historical study in the humanities, as is illustrated by the 42

many and diverse contributions to this volume. In due course we believe that the concept of registration will require - and sustain - further elucidation and comparative study in its own right, in the way that such other critical concepts as class, gender, the state and community command entire historiographies of historical research in the humanities and theoretical debate in the social sciences. Registration, ultimately, is a conceptual tool for approaching the comparative study of recognition and representation of individual persons in relation to their wider communities and the protean constitution of these crucial relationships throughout human history.

References

13	Agamben, Giorgio. 1998. Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life. Berkeley: University
14	of California Press.
15	Agar, Jon. 2003. The Government Machine: A Revolutionary History of the Computer.
16	Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
17	Ake, Claude. 1987. 'The African Context of Human Rights.' Africa Today 34(1): 5-12.
18	—— 1991. 'Rethinking African Democracy.' Journal of Democracy 2(1): 32-44.
19	Aly, Gotz and Karl Heinz Roth. 2000. The Nazi Census: Identification and Control in the
20	Third Reich. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
21	Anderson, Clare. 2004. Legible Bodies: Race, Criminality and Colonialism in South Asia.
22	Oxford: Berg.
23	Anderson, Ross, Ian Brown, Terri Dowty, Philip Inglesant, William Heath and Angela Sasse.
24	2009. Database State. York: Joseph Rowntree Reform Trust.
25	Baxter, Stephen. 2012. 'Domesday Book and the Languages of Lordship.' In <i>Conceptualizing</i>
26	Multilingualism in England, 800–1250, ed. Elizabeth Tyler. Begijnhof, Belgium: Brepols,
27	271-308.
28	Bennett, Colin J. and David Lyon. 2008. <i>Playing the Identity Card: Surveillance, Security</i> and Identification in Global Perspective. London: Routledge.
28 29	Berry, S. 1993. No Condition Is Permanent: The Social Dynamics of Agrarian Change in
30	Sub-Saharan Africa. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
31	Black, Edwin. 2001. IBM and the Holocaust: The Strategic Alliance between Nazi Germany
32	and America's Most Powerful. New York: Little Brown.
33	Bowker, Geoffrey and Susan Leigh Starr. 1999. Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its
33 34	Consequences. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
-	Breckenridge, Keith. 2005. 'Verwoerd's Bureau of Proof: Total Information in the Making
35	of Apartheid.' History Workshop Journal 59: 83-109.
36	2010. 'The World's First Biometric Money: Ghana's e-Zwich and the Contemporary
37	Influence of South African Biometrics.' Africa: The Journal of the International African
38	<i>Institute</i> 80(4): 642–662.
39	2011. 'Capitaliser sur les pauvres: les enjeux de l'adoption de services financiers
40	biométriques au Nigeria.' In L'identification Biométrique: Champs, Acteurs, Enjeux et

biometriques au Nigeria.⁷ In L'identification Biometrique: Champs, Acteurs, Enjeux et Controverses, ed. Ayse Cehan amd Pierre Piazza. Paris: Éditions de la Maison des sciences de l'homme.

Brown, W. 2004. "The Most We Can Hope For": Human Rights and the Politics of	1
Fatalism.' South Atlantic Quarterly 103(2): 451.	2
Burchell, Peter, Colin Gordon and Peter Miller, eds. 1991. The Foucault Effect: Studies in	3
Governmentality with Two Lectures by and an Interview with Michel Foucault. London:	4
Harvester Wheatsheaf.	5
Caplan, Jane. 2001. "This or That Particular Person": Protocols of Identification in	6
Nineteenth-Century Europe.' In Documenting Individual Identity: The Development of	
State Practices in the Modern World, ed. Jane Caplan and John Torpey. Princeton, NJ:	7
Princeton University Press, 49–66.	8
2009. 'Illegibility: Reading and Insecurity in History, Law and Government.' History	9
Workshop Journal 68(1): 99–121.	10
Caplan, Jane and John C. Torpey, eds. 2001. Documenting Individual Identity: The	11
Development of State Practices in the Modern World. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University	12
Press.	13
Chakrabarty, Dipesh. 2002. Habitations of Modernity: Essays in the Wake of Subaltern	14
Studies. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.	15
Chanock, Martin. 2001. The Making of South African Legal Culture, 1902–1936: Fear,	16
Favour and Prejudice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.	17
Charlesworth, Lorie. 2010. Welfare's Forgotten Past: A Socio-Legal History of the Poor	18
Law. London: Routledge.	
Clanchy, M. T. 1979. From Memory to Written Record, England 1066–1307. London:	19
Edward Arnold.	20
Cohn, Bernard S. and Nicholas B. Dirks. 1988. 'Beyond the Fringe: The Nation State,	21
Colonialism, and the Technologies of Power.' Journal of Historical Sociology 1(2):	22
224–229.	23
Cole, Ernest. 1967. House of Bondage by Ernest Cole (A South African Black Man Exposes	24
in His Own Pictures and Words the Bitter Life of His Homeland Today), ed. Thomas	25
Flaherty. New York: Random House.	26
Cole, Simon A. 2001. Suspect Identities: A History of Fingerprinting and Criminal	27
Identification. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.	28
Cooper, Frederick. 1996. Decolonization and African Society: The Labor Question in French	29
and British Africa. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.	30
— 2002. Africa Since 1940: The Past of the Present. Cambridge: Cambridge University	31
Press. Cooper, Frederick and Rogers Brubaker. 2000. 'Beyond "Identity".' <i>Theory and Society</i>	32
29: 1–47. Corrigan, Philip Richard D. and Derek Sayer. 1985. <i>The Great Arch: English State Formation</i>	33
as Cultural Revolution. Oxford: Blackwell.	34
Dean, M. 1999. Governmentality: Power and Rule in Modern Society. London: Sage.	35
De Soto, H. 2000. The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails	36
Everywhere Else. New York: Basic Books.	37
Diamant, N. J. 2001. 'Making Love "Legible" in China: Politics and Society during the	38
Enforcement of Civil Marriage Registration, 1950–1966.' <i>Politics and Society</i> 29(3):	39
447–480.	40
Draper, Nick. 2009. The Price of Emancipation: Slave-Ownership, Compensation and British	41
Society at the End of Slavery. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.	42
sectory at the 2nd of Statery. Cambridge, Cambridge Chitesburg 11066.	_

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Dreze, Jean and Amartya Sen. 1989. <i>Hunger and Public Action</i> . New York: Oxford University Press.
•
Economist. 2012. Leader 'India's Identity Scheme.' <i>Economist</i> , 14 January. www.economist. com/node/21542763?fsrc=nlw hig 1-12-2012 editors_highlights.
Englund, Harri. 2006. Prisoners of Freedom: Human Rights and the African Poor. Berkeley:
University of California Press.
Ferguson, James. 1990. The Anti-Politics Machine: 'Development,' Depoliticization, and
Bureaucratic Power in Lesotho. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
—— 1999. Expectations of Modernity: Myths and Meanings of Urban Life on the Zambian
<i>Copperbelt</i> . Berkeley: University of California Press.
— 2006. Global Shadows: Africa in the Neoliberal World Order. Durham, NC: Duke
University Press.
Ferguson, James and Anil Gupta. 2002. 'Spatializing States: Toward an Ethnography of
Neoliberal Governmentality.' American Ethnologist 29(4): 981–1002.
Foucault, Michel. 1977. Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison. London: Penguin.
— 1981. 'The Order of Discourse: Inaugural Lecture at the Collège de France, given 2
December 1970.' In Untying the Text, ed. Robert Young. Boston: Routledge & Kegan
Paul, 48–78.
—— 1991. 'Governmentality.' In The Foucault Effect: Studies in Governmentality with Two
Lectures by and an Interview with Michel Foucault, ed. Peter Burchell, Colin Gordon
and Peter Miller. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 87–104.
Foucault, Michel and Colin Gordon. 1980. Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other
Writings, 1972–1977. New York: Pantheon.
Fraser, N. 1989. Unruly Practices: Power, Discourse and Gender in Contemporary Social
Theory. Minneapolis: Minnesota University Press.
Giddens, A. 1985. The Nation-State and Violence: A Contemporary Critique of Historical
Materialism. Berkeley: University of California Press.
Goody, Jack. 1977. The Domestication of the Savage Mind. Cambridge: Cambridge
University Press.
1986. <i>The Logic of Writing and the Organization of Society</i> . Oxford: Oxford University
Press.
Gorski, Philip S. 1993. 'The Protestant Ethic Revisited: Disciplinary Revolution and State
Formation in Holland and Prussia.' American Journal of Sociology 99(2): 265–316.
Groebner, Valentin. 2007. Who Are You? Identification, Deception, and Surveillance in
Early Modern Europe. New York: Zone Books.

- Guha, Sumit. 2003. 'The Politics of Identity and Enumeration in India c. 1600–1990.' 33 Comparative Studies in Society and History 45(2): 148-167. 34
- Guha, Ramachandra. 2008. India After Gandhi. London: Pan Macmillan. 35
- Halverson, John. 1992. 'Goody and the Implosion of the Literacy Thesis.' Man, 27(2): 36 301-317. 37
- Harries, Patrick. 1994. Work, Culture and Identity: Migrant Labourers in Mozambique and 38 South Africa, c 1860–1910. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press. 39
- Hawkins, Sean. 2002. Writing and Colonialism in Northern Ghana: The Encounter between 40 the LoGagaa and the 'World on Paper'. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- 41 Herbst, J. 2000. States and Power in Africa: Comparative Lessons in Authority and Control.
- 42 Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Higgs, Edward. 2004. The Information State in England: The Central Collection of	1
Information on Citizens since 1500. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.	2
— 2010. 'Fingerprints and Citizenship: The British State and the Identification of	3
Pensioners in the Interwar Period.' History Workshop Journal 69: 52-67.	4
Hill, Kenneth, Alan D. Lopez, Kenji Shibuya and Prabat Jha. 2007. 'Interim Measures for	5
Meeting Needs for Health Sector Data: Births, Deaths and Causes of Death.' The Lancet	
370(9600): 1726–1735.	6
Hodge, Joseph Morgan. 2007. Triumph of the Expert: Agrarian Doctrines of Development	7
and the Legacies of British Colonialism. Athens: Ohio University Press.	8
Jones, G. S. 1971. Outcast London: A Study in the Relationship between the Classes in	9
Victorian Society. New York: Pantheon.	10
Jewkes, Rachel and Katherine Wood. 1998. 'Competing Discourses of Vital Registration	11
and Personhood: Perspectives from Rural South Africa.' Social Science and Medicine	12
46(8): 1043–1056.	13
de Jong, Louis. 1995. Het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden in de Tweede Wereldoorlog, vol. 5.	14
Amsterdam: Rijksinstituut voor Oorlogsdocumentatie.	15
Kahn, E. 1949. 'The Pass Laws.' Handbook of Race Relations in South Africa. Johannesburg:	16
Race Relations Institute, 275–291.	17
Kuczynski, Robert René. 1948. Demographic Survey of the British Colonial Empire. Volume	18
I. West Africa. London: Oxford University Press.	
— 1949. Demographic Survey of the British Colonial Empire. Volume II. South Africa	19
High Commission Territories, East Africa, Mauritius, Seychelles. London: Oxford	20
University Press.	21
Kwankye, S. O. 1999. 'The Vital Registration System in Ghana: A Relegated Option for	22
Demographic Data Collection.' In <i>The African Population in the 21st Century</i> . Durban:	23
Popline.	24
Loveman, Mara. 2007. 'Blinded Like a State: The Revolt against Civil Registration in	25
Nineteenth-Century Brazil.' <i>Comparative Studies in Society and History</i> 49(1): 5–39.	26
Luebke, David Martin and Sybil Milton. 1994. 'Locating the Victim: An Overview of	27
Census-Taking, Tabulation Technology, and Persecution in Nazi Germany.' <i>IEEE Annals</i>	28
of the History of Computing 16(3): 25. Mackanzia X 2008, 'Comparing for Universal Pirth Pagistration in Latin America: Ensuring	29
Mackenzie, Y. 2008. 'Campaign for Universal Birth Registration in Latin America: Ensuring All Latin American Children's Inherent Right to Life and Survival by First Guaranteeing	30
Their Right to a Legal Identity.' <i>Georgia Journal of International and Comparative</i>	31
Law 37: 519–554.	32
Mamdani, Mahmood. 1996. Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of	33
Late Colonialism. Cape Town: James Currey.	
McCrank, Lawrence. 1993. 'Documenting Reconquest and Reform: The Growth of Archives	34
in the Medieval Crown of Aragon.' <i>American Archivist</i> 56(1): 256–318.	35
Menon, Dilip M. 2005. 'Things Fall Apart: The Cinematic Rendition of Agrarian Landscape	36
in South India.' Journal of Peasant Studies 32(2): 304–334.	37
— 2006. 'Introduction.' In <i>Cultural History of Modern India</i> . New Delhi: Social Science	38
Press, ix–xxii.	39
Mitchell, Timothy. 2002. <i>Rule of Experts: Egypt, Techno-Politics, Modernity</i> . Berkeley:	40
University of California Press.	41
Morris, R. J. 1990. 'Clubs, Societies and Associations.' In The Cambridge Social History	42

1	of Britain 1750–1950, vol. 3, ed. F. M. L. Thompson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 395–443.
2	Oloka-Onyango, J. 1995. 'Beyond the Rhetoric: Reinvigorating the Struggle for Economic
3 4	and Social Rights in Africa.' <i>California Western International Law Journal</i> 26: 1.
	Ong, W. J. 2002. Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word. London: Routledge.
5	van Onselen, Charles. 1985. 'Crime and Total Institutions in the Making of Modern South
6	Africa: The Life of "Nongoloza" Mathebula, 1867-1948.' History Workshop Journal
7	19: 62–81.
8	Overy, R. J. 2009. The Morbid Age: Britain Between the Wars. London: Allen Lane.
9	Posel, Deborah. 1995. 'State, Power, and Gender: Conflict over the Registration of African
10 11	Customary Marriage in South Africa, 1910–1970.' <i>Journal of Historical Sociology</i> 8(3): 223–256.
12	2000. 'A Mania for Measurement: Statistics and Statecraft in the Transition to
13	Apartheid.' In Science and Society in Southern Africa, ed. Saul Dubow. Manchester:
14	Manchester University Press, 116–142.
15	Powell, Nora. 1980. Human Rights and Registration of Vital Events. Technical Report.
16	International Institute for Vital Registration and Statistics.
17	Reynolds, Susan. 2001. 'Medieval Law.' In The Medieval World, ed. Peter Linehan and
18	Janet Laughland Nelson. London: Routledge, 485–502.
19	Rodney, Walter. 1982. How Europe Underdeveloped Africa. Washington, DC: Howard
20	University Press.
21	Rose, Nikolas. 1991. 'Governing by Numbers: Figuring out Democracy.' Accounting,
22	Organizations and Society 16(7): 673–692.
23	— 1999. <i>Governing the Soul: The Shaping of the Private Self.</i> London: Free Association
24	Books.
25	Sadiq, Kamal. 2009. Paper Citizens. How Illegal Immigrants Acquire Citizenship in Developed Countries. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
26	Sankar, P. 1992. 'State Power and Record-Keeping: The History of Individualized
27	Surveillance in the United States, 1790-1935.' Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation,
28	University of Pennsylvania.
29	Savage, Michael. 1986. 'The Imposition of Pass Laws on the African Population in South
30	Africa 1916–1984.' African Affairs 85(339): 181–205.
31	Scott, James C. 1998. Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human
32	Condition Have Failed. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
33	— 2009. The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast
34	Asia. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
35	Scott, James C., John Tehranian and Jeremy Mathias. 2002. 'The Production of Legal
36	Identities Proper to States: The Case of the Permanent Family Surname.' <i>Comparative Studies in Society and History</i> 44(1): 4–44.
37	Sekula, Allan. 1986. 'The Body and the Archive.' October 39: 3–64.
38	Seltzer, William. 1998. 'Population Statistics, the Holocaust, and the Nuremberg Trials.'
39	Population and Development Review 24(3): 511–552.
40	Sen, Amartya. 1982. Poverty and Famines: An Essay on Entitlement and Deprivation. New
41	York: Oxford University Press.
42	— 1999. Development as Freedom. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

— 2004. 'Elements of a Theory of Human Rights.' <i>Philosophy and Public Affairs</i> 32(4): 315–356.	1 2
Sengoopta, Chandak. 2003. <i>Imprint of the Raj: How Fingerprinting Was Born in Colonial India</i> . London: Macmillan.	2 3 4
Setel, Philip W., Sarah B. Macfarlane, Simon Szreter, Lene Mikkelsen, Prabhat Jha, Susan Stout and Carla AbouZahr. 2007. 'A Scandal of Invisibility: Making Everyone Count by Counting Everyone.' <i>The Lancet</i> 370(9598): 1569–1577.	4 5 6 7
Shachar, Ayelet. 2009. <i>The Birthright Lottery: Citizenship and Global Inequality</i> . Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.	8
Singha, Radhika. 2000. 'Settle, Mobilize, Verify: Identification Practices in Colonial India.' <i>Studies in History</i> 16(2): 151–198.	9 10
Skocpol, Theda. 1992. Protecting Soldiers and Mothers: The Political Origins of Social Policy in the United States. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.	11
 Slack, Paul. 2004. 'Government and Information in Seventeenth-Century England.' Past and Present 184(1): 33–68. 	12 13 14
Smith, Alex Duval. 2010. 'Lesotho's People Plead with South Africa to Annex Their Troubled Country.' <i>Guardian</i> , 6 June. www.guardian.co.uk/world/2010/jun/06/lesotho-	15 16
independence-south-africa. Steinwedel, Charles. 2001. 'Making Social Groups, One Person at a Time: The Identification of Individuals by Estate, Religious Confession, and Ethnicity in Late Imperial Russia.'	17 18 19
In <i>Documenting Individual Identity: The Development of State Practices in the Modern</i> <i>World</i> , ed. Jane Caplan and John Torpey. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 61–82.	20 21
Stoler, Ann Laura. 2009. <i>Along the Archival Grain: Epistemic Anxieties and Colonial Common Sense</i> . Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.	22 23
Szreter, Simon. 2007. 'The Right of Registration: Development, Identity Registration, and Social Security: A Historical Perspective.' <i>World Development</i> 35(1): 67–86.	24 25
Thiong'o, Ngugi wa. 2007. Wizard of the Crow. New York: Anchor. Unicef. 2005. The 'Rights' Start to Life: A Statistical Analysis of Birth Registration. New York: UNICEF.	26 27
Wilbur, Cressy L. 1907. 'The Outlook for a General System of Registration of Vital Statistics in the United States.' <i>Publications of the American Statistical Association</i> 10(80): 395–420.	28 29 30
Wilke, Jürgen. 2004. 'From Parish Register to the "Historical Table": The Prussian Population Statistics in the 17th and 18th Centuries.' <i>The History of the Family</i> 9(1): 63–79.	31 32 33
Wilson, Edward. Acting Chief Pass Officer, Native Affairs Department, Johannesburg. 1912. 'The Employment in the Union of South Africa of the System of Identification by Finger Impressions.' Chamber of Mines Archive, Assaults on European Women by Natives, 1012 (1)	34 35 36
1912 (1).Woodside, Alexander. 2006. Lost Modernities: China, Vietnam, Korea, and the Hazards of World History. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.	37 38
Wordsworth, William. 1888. 'The Tables Turned.' In <i>The Complete Poetical Works</i> . London: Macmillan and Co. www.bartleby.com/145/.	39 40
Young, M. Crawford. 1994. <i>The African Colonial State in Comparative Perspective</i> . New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.	41 42